# CS 440 Theory of Algorithms / CS 468 Algorithms in Bioinformatics 

## Dynamic Programming

## Part II

## Warshall's Algorithm: Transitive Closure

- Computes the transitive closure of a relation
- (Alternatively: all paths in a directed graph)
- Example of transitive closure:

$\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}$
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## Warshall's Algorithm

- Main idea: a path exists between two vertices $i, j$, iff
- there is an edge from ito $j$; or
- there is a path from itojgoing through vertex 1 ; or
- there is a path from $i$ to $j$ going through vertex 1 and/or 2 ; or
- there is a path from ito j going through vertex 1,2 , and/or 3 ; or -...
- there is a path from ito $j$ going through any of the other vertices
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## Warshall's Algorithm

- On the $\boldsymbol{k}^{\text {th }}$ iteration, the algorithm determine if a path exists between two vertices $i, j$ using just vertices among $1, \ldots, k$ allowed as intermediate

 and from $k$ to $i$ using just $1, \ldots, k-1$ )
$k^{\text {th }}$ iteration


## Warshall's Algorithm: Transitive Closure
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FIGURE 8.2 (a) Digraph. (b) Its adjacency matrix. (c) Its transitive closure.

## Warshall's Algorithm (matrix generation)

Recurrence relating elements $\boldsymbol{R}^{(k)}$ to elements of $\boldsymbol{R}^{(k-1)}$ is:

$$
R^{(k)}[i, j]=R^{(k-1)}[i, j] \text { or }\left(R^{(k-1)}[i, k] \text { and } R^{(k-1)}[k, j]\right)
$$

It implies the following rules for generating $\boldsymbol{R}^{(k)}$ from $\boldsymbol{R}^{(k-1)}$ :
Rule 1 If an element in row $i$ and column $j$ is 1 in $R^{(k-1)}$, it remains 1 in $\boldsymbol{R}^{(k)}$

Rule 2 If an element in row $i$ and column $j$ is 0 in $R^{(k-1)}$, it has to be changed to 1 in $R^{(k)}$ if and only if the element in its row $i$ and column $k$ and the element in its column $\boldsymbol{j}$ and row $\boldsymbol{k}$ are both 1 's in $\boldsymbol{R}^{(k-1)}$

## Warshall's Algorithm: 'Transitive Closure



FIGURE 8.3 Rule for changing zeros in Warshall's algorithm

$R^{(2)}=\begin{aligned} & a \\ & b \\ & c \\ & d\end{aligned}\left[\begin{array}{llll}a & b & c & d \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$
$R^{(3)}=\begin{aligned} & a \\ & b \\ & c \\ & d\end{aligned}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}a & b & c & d \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$
$R^{(4)}=\begin{aligned} & a \\ & b \\ & c \\ & d\end{aligned}\left[\begin{array}{llll}a & b & c & d \\ \mathbf{1} & 1 & \mathbf{1} & 1 \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$

Ones reflect the existence of paths with no intermediate vertices ( $R^{(0)}$ is just the adjacency matrix); boxed row and column are used for getting $R^{(1)}$.

Ones reflect the existence of paths with intermediate vertices numbered not higher than 1, i.e., just vertex $a$ (note a new path from $d$ to $b$ ); boxed row and column are used for getting $R^{(2)}$.
Ones reflect the existence of paths with intermediate vertices numbered not higher than 2, i.e., $a$ and $b$ (note two new paths); boxed row and column are used for getting $R^{(3)}$.

Ones reflect the existence of paths with intermediate vertices numbered not higher than 3, i.e., $a, b$, and $c$ (no new paths);
boxed row and column are used for getting $R^{(4)}$.
Ones reflect the existence of paths with intermediate vertices numbered not higher than 4, i.e., $a, b, c$, and $d$ (note five new paths).

FIGURE 8.4 Application of Warshall's algorithm to the digraph shown. New ones are in bold.

## Warshall's Algorithm (pseudocode and analysis)

ALGORITHM Warshall(A[1..n, 1..n])
//Implements Warshall's algorithm for computing the transitive closure //Input: The adjacency matrix $A$ of a digraph with $n$ vertices
//Output: The transitive closure of the digraph
$R^{(0)} \leftarrow A$
for $k \leftarrow 1$ to $n$ do
for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $n$ do
for $j \leftarrow 1$ to $n$ do
$R^{(k)}[i, j] \leftarrow R^{(k-1)}[i, j]$ or $\left(R^{(k-1)}[i, k]\right.$ and $\left.R^{(k-1)}[k, j]\right)$
return $R^{(n)}$

## Time efficiency: $\boldsymbol{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$

Space efficiency: Matrices can be written over their predecessors

## Floyd's Algorithm: All pairs shortest paths

## Problem: In a weighted (di)graph, find shortest paths between every pair of vertices

Same idea: construct solution through series of matrices $\boldsymbol{D}^{(0)}, \ldots$, $D^{(n)}$ using increasing subsets of the vertices allowed as intermediate

- Example:



## Floyd's Algorithm (matrix generation)

On the $\boldsymbol{k}$-th iteration, the algorithm determines shortest paths between every pair of vertices $i, j$ that use only vertices among $1, \ldots, k$ as intermediate

$$
D^{(k)}[i, j]=\min \left\{D^{(k-1)}[i, j], D^{(k-1)}[i, k]+D^{(k-1)}[k, j]\right\}
$$
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## Floyd's Algorithm: All pairs shortest paths
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FIGURE 8.5 (a) Digraph. (b) Its weight matrix. (c) Its distance matrix.


Lengths of the shortest paths with no intermediate vertices ( $D^{(0)}$ is simply the weight matrix).

$D^{(1)}=$| $a$ |
| :--- |
| $b$ |
| $c$ |
| $d$ |\(\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}a \& b \& c \& d <br>

\& \infty \& 3 \& \infty <br>
\hline 2 \& 0 \& \mathbf{5} \& \infty <br>
\hline 2 \& 7 \& 0 \& 1 <br>
\hline \& \& \infty \& \mathbf{9} \& 0\end{array}\right]\) $D^{(2)}=\begin{aligned} & a \\ & b \\ & c \\ & d\end{aligned}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}a & b & c & d \\ 0 & \infty & 3 & \infty \\ 2 & 0 & 5 & \infty \\ \hline 9 & 7 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 6 & \infty & 9 & 0\end{array}\right]$ $D^{(3)}=\begin{aligned} & a \\ & b \\ & c \\ & d\end{aligned}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}a & b & c & d \\ 0 & \mathbf{1 0} & 3 & \mathbf{4} \\ 2 & 0 & 5 & \mathbf{6} \\ 9 & 7 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 6 & \mathbf{1 6} & 9 & 0\end{array}\right]$ $D^{(4)}=\begin{aligned} & a \\ & b \\ & c \\ & d\end{aligned}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}a & b & c & d \\ 0 & 10 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 0 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 7 & 0 & 1 \\ 6 & 16 & 9 & 0\end{array}\right]$

Lengths of the shortest paths with intermediate vertices numbered not higher than 1, i.e. just a (note two new shortest paths from $b$ to $c$ and from $d$ to $c$ ).

Lengths of the shortest paths with intermediate vertices numbered not higher than 2, i.e. a and $b$ (note a new shortest path from $c$ to $a$ ).

Lengths of the shortest paths with intermediate vertices numbered not higher than 3 , i.e. $a, b$, and $c$ (note four new shortest paths from $a$ to $b$, from a to $d$, from $b$ to $d$, and from $d$ to $b$ ).

Lengths of the shortest paths with intermediate vertices numbered not higher than 4, i.e. $a, b, c$, and $d$ (note a new shortest path from $c$ to $a$ ).

FIGURE 8.7 Application of Floyd's algorithm to the graph shown. Updated elements are ${ }^{8-12}$ shown in

## Floyd's Algorithm (pseudocode and analysis)

```
ALGORITHM Floyd(W[1..n, 1..n])
    //Implements Floyd's algorithm for the all-pairs shortest-paths problem
    //Input: The weight matrix \(W\) of a graph with no negative-length cycle
    //Output: The distance matrix of the shortest paths' lengths
    \(D \leftarrow W / /\) is not necessary if \(W\) can be overwritten
    for \(k \leftarrow 1\) to \(n\) do
        for \(i \leftarrow 1\) to \(n\) do
        for \(j \leftarrow 1\) to \(n\) do
        \(D[i, j] \leftarrow \min \{D[i, j], D[i, k]+D[k, j]\}\)
    return \(D\)
```


## Time efficiency: $\boldsymbol{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$

Space efficiency: Matrices can be written over their predecessors

## Knapsack Problem by DP

Given $\boldsymbol{n}$ items of integer weights: $\quad w_{1} \quad w_{2} \ldots w_{n}$
values: $\quad v_{1} \quad v_{2} \ldots v_{n}$
a knapsack of integer capacity $W$
find most valuable subset of the items that fit into the knapsack

Consider instance defined by first $\boldsymbol{i}$ items and capacity $\boldsymbol{j}(\boldsymbol{j} \leq \boldsymbol{W})$. Let $V[i, j]$ be optimal value of such instance. Then

$$
V[i, j]=\begin{array}{ll}
\max \left\{V[i-1, j], v_{i}+V\left[i-1, j-w_{i}\right]\right\} & \text { if } j-w_{i} \geq 0 \\
V[i-1, j] & \text { if } j-w_{i}<0
\end{array}
$$

Initial conditions: $V[0, j]=0$ and $V[i, 0]=0$
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## Knapsack Problem by DP (example)

Example: Knapsack of capacity $W=5$

| item | weight | value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | $\$ 12$ |
| 2 | 1 | $\$ 10$ |
| 3 | 3 | $\$ 20$ |
| 4 | 2 | $\$ 15$ |

$$
\text { capacity } j
$$

## Knapsack Problem



FIGURE 8.12 Table for solving the knapsack problem by dynamic programming

## Knapsack Problem

$\cdot V[i, j]=\max \underset{\text { object }_{i} \text { not used }_{\uparrow}^{(V[i-1, j]}, \frac{\left.V\left[i-1, j-w_{i}\right]+v_{i}\right)}{\text { object }_{i} \text { used }}}{\frac{V}{2}}$

|  | capacity $j$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $i$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $w_{1}=2, v_{1}=12$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| $w_{2}=1, v_{2}=10$ | 2 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| $w_{3}=3, v_{3}=20$ | 3 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 22 | 30 | 32 |
| $w_{4}=2, v_{4}=15$ | 4 | 0 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 37 |

FIGURE 8.13 Example of solving an instance of the knapsack problem by the dynamic programming algorithm

## Knapsack Problem - Memory Function

- Implement the recurrence recursively
- Do not calculate a value if it is not needed
- Do not recalculate a value
- Row 0 and column 0 of V are initialized to 0 , other entries are -1
- MFKnapsack(i, j)

```
if \(\mathrm{V}[\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}]<0\)
    if \(\mathbf{j}<\mathbf{w}[\mathbf{i}]\)
        value \(\leftarrow\) MFKnapsack \((\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{j})\)
    else
        value \(\leftarrow \max (\) MFKnapsack \((\mathbf{i} \mathbf{- 1}, \mathbf{j})\),
                        MFKnapsack( \(\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{j}-\mathbf{w}[\mathbf{i}])+\mathbf{v}[\mathbf{i}])\)
    \(\mathbf{V}[\mathbf{i}, \mathrm{j}] \leftarrow\) value
    return \(\mathrm{V}[\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}]\)
```


## Knapsack Problem - Memory Function

|  | capacity $j$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $i$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $w_{1}=2, v_{1}=12$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| $w_{2}=1, v_{2}=10$ | 2 | 0 | - | 12 | 22 | - | 22 |
| $w_{3}=3, v_{3}=20$ | 3 | 0 | - | - | 22 | - | 32 |
| $w_{4}=2, v_{4}=15$ | 4 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 37 |

FIGURE 8.14 Example of solving an instance of the knapsack problem by the memory function algorithm

